

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

CLAUDE GRANT,  
Plaintiff(s),

v.

LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE  
DEPARTMENT, et al.,  
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01629-JAD-NJK

**Order**

Pending before the Court is an order for Plaintiff to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for his failure to comply with the local rules and the Court's orders to confer with the defense and to participate in the filing of a discovery plan. Docket No. 37.

Plaintiff has filed a response that does not address these violations and, instead, discusses the substance of his claims. Docket No. 38. Plaintiff's views as to the substance of his claims are not at issue. What is currently before the Court is Plaintiff's failure to comply with the applicable rules and the Court's orders. A party proceeding without an attorney is required to comply with the governing rules and orders. *See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995). Violation of these rules and orders may lead to significant ramifications, including potentially the dismissal of a plaintiff's case.

The Court will afford Plaintiff **one final opportunity** to comply with his obligations on this issue. **Plaintiff must promptly contact defense counsel to confer regarding a discovery plan.** Moreover, a **joint discovery plan must be filed by May 3, 2021.** **FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER MAY RESULT IN A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE CASE BE DISMISSED.**

1 The Court will otherwise defer resolution of the pending order to show cause so that it can  
2 evaluate whether Plaintiff has complied with his obligations as stated above. To the extent Plaintiff  
3 does not contact defense counsel as required above, Defendants must file a notice so attesting by  
4 May 5, 2021.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: April 19, 2021

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 Nancy J. Koppe  
11 United States Magistrate Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28